

STATE OF NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

ANDREW M. CUOMO Attorney General

NICOLE GUERON Deputy Chief Trial Counsel (212) 416-6053

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DATE FILED: NOV 3

November 26, 2007

DOC #:

MILMO ENDORSED

BY FACSIMILE AND HAND DELIVERY IS ORDERED that counsel to whom this Memo The Honorable Laura Taylor Swain United States District Judge United States District Court 500 Pearl Street, Room 755 New York, N.Y. 10007

Professement is sent is responsible for faxing or otherwise delivering promptly a copy to all counsel and unrepresented parties and filing a certificate of s with service within 5 days from the date hereof. Do Teach conflication to Chambers.

People of the State of New York v. First American Corp. et al., Re: 07 Civ. 10397 (S.D.N.Y.) (LTS) (HBP)

Dear Judge Swain:

We write respectfully to request the Court's assistance with a case management issue in the above-named case.

The Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") intends to move shortly to remand this case to New York State court, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). Pursuant to Your Honor's individual rules, we have sent a letter briefly outlining the motion to counsel for defendants.

Defendants intend to move to dismiss the case as preempted by federal law. Pursuant to Your Honor's individual rules, they have sent a letter briefly outlining the motion to the OAG.

It is the OAG's position that the jurisdictional question of whether this case should be before a state or federal court logically precedes any analysis of whether the case should be dismissed on substantive grounds. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Court (1) schedule a pre-motion conference, or a joint telephone conference call today, Monday, November 26, 2007, and (2) during that conference, set a case management schedule under which the parties will initially brief the OAG's motion to remand the case to state court, will await the Court's ruling on the motion to remand, and will brief defendants' motion to dismiss the case only if the Court denies OAG's motion to remand and retains jurisdiction over the case. We believe that this approach will conserve judicial resources and place jurisdictional issues at the front of the case, where they belong.

We have consulted with the defendants as to this request, and they have advised us that they do not consent to the request and that they believe that this requested Court conference is unnecessary.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this request.

Respectfully,

Nicole Gueron

Deputy Chief Ffial Counsel

cc: Richard F. Hans, Esq. (by facsimile)
Patrick Smith, Esq. (by facsimile)
Thacher Proffitt & Wood LLP

The request to delay briefing of the motion to dishuss is denied, as is the request for a confirme. Motions may be interposed and briefsel in accordance with the applicable bedered, local and chambers practice rules. They tricping schedule agreed to by the parties may be submitted for caust approval.

SO ORDERED.

LAUKA TAYLOR SWAIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE